

**CANCELLATION PROPERTIES IN IDEAL SYSTEMS:  
AN *e.a.b.* NOT *a.b.* STAR OPERATION**

MARCO FONTANA, K. ALAN LOPER AND RYŪKI MATSUDA

ABSTRACT. We show that Krull's *a.b.* cancellation condition is a properly stronger condition than Gilmer's *e.a.b.* cancellation condition for star operations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . Let  $\mathbf{F}(D)$  [respectively,  $\mathbf{f}(D)$ ] be the set of all nonzero fractional ideals [respectively, nonzero finitely generated fractional ideals] of  $D$ .

A *star operation*  $*$  on  $D$  is a mapping  $*$  :  $\mathbf{F}(D) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(D)$ ,  $E \mapsto E^*$  such that the following properties hold:  $(*_1)$   $(zD)^* = zD$  and  $(zE)^* = zE^*$ ,  $(*_2)$   $E \subseteq F \Rightarrow E^* \subseteq F^*$ ,  $(*_3)$   $E \subseteq E^*$  and  $E^{**} := (E^*)^* = E^*$ , for all nonzero  $z \in K$ , and for all  $E, F \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ .

Examples of star operations include the *v-operation*, defined by  $E^v := (D : (D : E))$ , for each  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$  [2, page 396]; the *t-operation*, defined by  $E^t := \bigcup\{F^v \mid F \in \mathbf{f}(D), F \subseteq E\}$ , for each  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$  [2, page 406]; the *w-operation* (with the notation proposed by Wang-McCasland) defined by  $E^w := \bigcap\{ED_Q \mid Q \in \text{Max}^t(D)\}$  (where  $\text{Max}^t(D)$  is the (nonempty) set of all maximal *t*-ideals of  $D$ ) for all  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$  [4].

Let  $*$  be a star operation on  $D$ . If  $F$  is in  $\mathbf{f}(D)$ , we say that  $F$  is *\*-eab* [respectively, *\*-ab*], if the inclusion  $(FG)^* \subseteq (FH)^*$  implies that  $G^* \subseteq H^*$ , with  $G, H \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ , [respectively, with  $G, H \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ ].

The operation  $*$  is said to be *eab* [respectively, *ab*] if each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  is *\*-eab* [respectively, *\*-ab*]. An *ab* operation is obviously an *eab* operation. Recall also that  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$  is called a (*fractional*) *\*-ideal* of  $D$  if  $E = E^*$ .

In the classical (Krull's) setting, the study of Kronecker function rings on an integral domain generally focusses on the collection of “*arithmetisch brauchbar*” (for short, *a.b.* or, simply, *ab*, as above) *\*-operations* [3]. Gilmer's presentation of Kronecker function rings [2, Section 32] makes use of the (presumably larger class of) “*endlich arithmetisch brauchbar*” (for short, *e.a.b.* or, simply, *eab*, as above) *\*-operations*. In this paper, we show that the *e.a.b.* cancellation condition is really strictly weaker than the *a.b.* cancellation condition. This goal is reached by modifying an example given in the recent paper [1].

---

*Date:* February 21, 2010.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A15, 13G05, 13F30, 13E99.

*Key words and phrases.* Cancellation properties for multiplicative ideal systems, star operation, *v-operation*, *t-operation*, *b-operation*.

## 2. THE EXAMPLE

In [1, Example 16], the authors consider the following example.

Let  $k$  be a field,  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  an infinite set of indeterminates over  $k$  and  $N := (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots)k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots]$ . Clearly,  $N$  is a maximal ideal in  $k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots]$ . Set  $D := k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots]_N$ , let  $M := ND$  be the maximal ideal of the local domain  $D$  and  $K := k(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots)$  the quotient field of  $D$ . Note that  $D$  is a UFD and consider  $\mathcal{W}$  the set of all the rank one essential valuation overrings of  $D$ . Let  $\wedge_{\mathcal{W}}$  be the star  $\mathbf{ab}$  operation on  $D$  defined by  $\mathcal{W}$  [2, page 398], i.e., for each  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ ,

$$E^{\wedge_{\mathcal{W}}} := \bigcap \{EW \mid W \in \mathcal{W}\}.$$

It is well known that the  $t$ -operation on  $D$  is an  $\mathbf{ab}$  star operation, since  $F^t = F^{\wedge_{\mathcal{W}}}$  for all  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  [2, Proposition 44.13] (more precisely, in this case, we have  $v = t = w = \wedge_{\mathcal{W}}$ ).

Consider the following subset of fractional ideals of  $D$ :

$$\mathcal{J} := \{xF^t, yM, zM^2 \mid x, y, z \in K \setminus \{0\}, F \in \mathbf{f}(D)\}.$$

Since each nonzero principal fractional ideal of  $D$  is in  $\mathcal{J}$  and, for each ideal  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  and for each nonzero  $a \in K$ , the ideal  $aJ$  belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$ , then, as above, [2, Proposition 32.4] guarantees that the set  $\mathcal{J}$  defines on  $D$  a star operation  $*$ , by setting:

$$E^* := \bigcap \{J \mid J \in \mathcal{J}, J \supseteq E\}, \quad \text{for each } E \in \mathbf{F}(D).$$

Since, for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ ,  $F^t \in \mathcal{J}$ , it was claimed in [1, Example 16] that  $*|_{\mathbf{f}(D)} = t|_{\mathbf{f}(D)}$ . This would imply that  $*$  was an  $\mathbf{eab}$  operation on  $D$ , since the operation  $t$  – as observed above – is an  $\mathbf{ab}$  star operation on  $D$ .

Unfortunately, it is not true that  $F^* = F^t$  for all  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  and, in particular, this equality does not hold if  $F \subset D$  and  $F^t = D$ . For instance, if  $I := (X_1, X_2)$ , then clearly, in the Krull domain  $D$ , we have  $I^v = I^t = D$ . On the other hand,  $I^* \subseteq M^* = M$ , since  $M \in \mathcal{J}$ . More generally, and with a more careful analysis, we claim that, if  $I := I_{ij} := (X_i, X_j)$ , with  $i \neq j \geq 1$ , then  $I^* = M$ .

**Case 1.** For every  $G \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ , if  $I \subseteq G^t$ , then  $I \subseteq I^* \subseteq M^* = M \subsetneq D = I^t \subseteq G^t$ . Note that the same conclusion holds for every proper ideal  $A$  of  $D$  such that  $A^t = D$ , i.e., for every  $G \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  if  $A \subseteq G^t$ , then  $A \subseteq M^* = M \subsetneq G^t$ .

**Case 2.** If  $I \subseteq yM$ , for some  $0 \neq y \in K$ , then in particular  $I \subseteq yD$  and so  $D = I^t \subseteq yD$ , hence,  $y^{-1} \in D$ . There are two possibilities here: either  $y^{-1} \in M$  or  $y^{-1} \in D \setminus M$ . In the first case, i.e., if  $y^{-1} \in M$ , then  $1 \in yM$  and so  $D \subseteq yM$ . In the second case, i.e., if  $y^{-1} \in D \setminus M$ , then  $y^{-1}$  is invertible in  $D$ , and so  $y, y^{-1} \in D$ . Thus,  $yM = M$ .

Note that the same conclusion holds for every proper ideal  $A$  of  $D$  such that  $A^t = D$ , i.e., if  $A \subseteq yM$ , for some  $0 \neq y \in K$  and  $A^t = D$ , then either  $D \subseteq yM$  or  $M = yM$ .

**Case 3.** If  $I \subseteq zM^2 \subseteq zM$ , for some  $0 \neq z \in K$ , then as above  $z^{-1} \in D$ . Two cases are possible: either  $z^{-1} \in M$  or  $z^{-1} \in D \setminus M$ . If  $z^{-1} \in D \setminus M$ , then  $z^{-1}$  is invertible in  $D$  and so  $z, z^{-1} \in D$ . Thus,  $zM^2 = M^2$ . However, this is impossible, since  $I \not\subseteq M^2$ . If  $z^{-1} \in M$ , then  $M \subseteq zM^2$ .

Note that a variation of the previous conclusion holds for every proper ideal  $A$  of  $D$  such that  $A^t = D$  and  $A \subseteq M^2$  (for instance, for  $A = I^3$ ), i.e., if  $A \subseteq zM^2$ , for some  $0 \neq z \in K$ ,  $A^t = D$  and  $A \subseteq M^2$ , then either  $A \subseteq zM^2 = M^2$  or  $A \subseteq M^2 \subset M \subseteq zM^2$ .

By the previous analysis, we conclude in particular that  $I^* = \bigcap\{J \in \mathcal{J} \mid J \supseteq I\} = M$ . Moreover, since  $I^* = M$ , then we obtain  $(I^2)^* = (I \cdot I)^* = (I^* \cdot I^*)^* = (M^2)^* = M^2$ . Furthermore, by the more general analysis for a proper ideal  $A$  of  $D$  such that  $A^t = D$ , in case  $A = I^3$  we deduce in particular that  $(I^3)^*$  also coincides with  $M^2$ . Therefore,

$$(I^3)^* = M^2 = (I^2)^* \quad \text{but} \quad (I^2)^* = M^2 \subsetneq I^* = M,$$

and so  $*$  is not an *eab* star operation on  $D$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mathcal{J}' := \{xD, yM, zM^2 \mid x, y, z \in K \setminus \{0\}\}$ . It is easy to see that [2, Proposition 32.4] guarantees that the set  $\mathcal{J}'$  defines on  $D$  a star operation that coincides with the star operation  $*$  defined above by the set  $\mathcal{J}$ , since  $F^t = F^v = \bigcap\{xD \mid x \in K, F \subseteq xD\}$ , for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  [2, Theorem 34.1(1)].

We provide next a variation of the previous example in order to construct an *eab* star operation that is not *ab*.

**Example 2.** (*Example of an eab star operation that is not an ab star operation*) Let  $D, M$  and  $K$  be as above. Consider the following subset of fractional ideals of  $D$ :

$$\mathcal{S} := \{xF^b, yM \mid x, y \in K \setminus \{0\}, F \in \mathbf{f}(D)\},$$

where  $b$  is the standard *ab* operation on  $D$  defined by the set  $\mathcal{V}$  of all valuation overrings of  $D$ , i.e., for each  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ ,

$$E^b := E^{\wedge \mathcal{V}} := \bigcap\{EV \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

Since each nonzero principal fractional ideal of  $D$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$  and, for each (fractional) ideal  $J \in \mathcal{S}$  and for each nonzero  $a \in K$ , the (fractional) ideal  $aJ$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ , as above, [2, Proposition 32.4] guarantees that the set  $\mathcal{S}$  defines on  $D$  a star operation  $*$ .

We claim that  $*$  is an *eab* operation. Since the  $b$ -operation is an *ab* operation, it is sufficient to prove that  $*|_{\mathbf{f}(D)} = b|_{\mathbf{f}(D)}$ . Suppose then that  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ . Since  $F^b \in \mathcal{S}$ , it is clear that  $F^* \subseteq F^b$ . Note also that it is well-known that each prime ideal  $P$  of an integrally closed domain  $D$  is a  $b$ -ideal, since there always exists a valuation overring of  $D$  centered on  $P$  [2, Theorem 19.6]. It follows that each ideal of the form  $yM$  is a  $b$ -ideal and, hence, each ideal of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $b$ -ideal. Since  $F^b$  is the intersection of all  $b$ -ideals which contain  $F$ , this implies that  $F^b \subseteq F^*$  (the same conclusion follows also from [2, Proposition 32.2(b)]). It follows that  $*|_{\mathbf{f}(D)} = b|_{\mathbf{f}(D)}$  and, hence,  $*$  is an *eab* operation.

Now, we claim that  $*$  is not an *ab* operation on  $D$ .

To show this, we let  $I := (X_1, X_2)$  and we prove that  $(IM)^* = I^* = I$ . This will show that  $*$  is not *ab*, because we clearly cannot cancel  $I$  in the previous equation, i.e.,  $(IM)^* = (ID)^*$  but  $M^* = M \neq D = D^*$ .

Therefore, we try to determine which (fractional) ideals in  $\mathcal{S}$  contain  $IM$ . We know that  $I$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$  (since  $I \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  and  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $D$ , thus,  $I = I^b$ ) and  $I$  contains  $IM$ . What we really want to prove is that any (fractional) ideal in  $\mathcal{S}$  which contains  $IM$  also contains  $I$ .

(1) First, suppose that  $IM \subseteq yM$  for some nonzero element  $y \in K$ . This causes no problems if it also implies that  $D \subseteq yM$ , since then, in particular, we have  $I \subseteq yM$ , which is what we want.

Assume that  $y$  is a nonzero element of  $K$  and that  $D \not\subseteq yM$ . There are four possibilities here.

– (1, a) If  $y$  is not in  $D$  and  $y^{-1}$  is not in  $D$ , then  $yM \cap D \subseteq yD \cap D \neq D$ . Hence,  $yD \cap D$  is a proper divisorial ideal of  $D$  containing  $IM$ . This contradicts the fact that  $(IM)^v = D$ .

– (1, b) If  $y$  is not in  $D$  and  $y^{-1}$  is in  $D$ , then  $y^{-1}$  is in  $M$  (since  $D$  is local) and so  $D \subseteq yM$ , which is a contradiction.

– (1, c) If  $y$  is in  $D$  and  $y$  is invertible in  $D$ , then  $yM = M$ , and so in this case  $I \subseteq yM$ , which is what we want.

– (1, d) If  $y$  is in  $D$  and  $y$  is not invertible in  $D$ , then  $IM \subseteq yM \subseteq yD \subseteq M \neq D$ . Again, this contradicts  $(IM)^v = D$ .

(2) Now suppose that  $G \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  is such that  $IM \subseteq G^* = G^b$ . We extend everything to the  $b$ -Kronecker function ring of  $D$ , which is the following subring of the field of rational functions in one indeterminate, denoted by  $T$ , over  $K$ , i.e.:

$$\mathrm{Kr}(D, b) := \{f/g \in K(T) \mid f, g \in D[T], 0 \neq g, \mathbf{c}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{c}(g)^b\} = \bigcap \{V(T) \mid V \in \mathbf{V}\},$$

where  $\mathbf{c}(h)$  is the content of a polynomial  $h \in D[X]$  and  $V(T) := \{f/g \in K(T) \mid f, g \in V[T], 0 \neq g \text{ and } \mathbf{c}(g) = V\}$  is the *trivial valuation extension of  $V$  to  $K(T)$*  [2, definitions at pages 218 and 401, Theorems 32.7 and 32.11, Proposition 33.1]. Then, we should have  $\mathrm{IKr}(D, b)\mathrm{MKr}(D, b) \subseteq G^b\mathrm{Kr}(D, b) = G\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ . Recall that  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$  is a Bézout domain and so both  $\mathrm{IKr}(D, b)$  and  $G\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$  are principal ideals. This means that we actually have  $\mathrm{MKr}(D, b) \subseteq G\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)(\mathrm{IKr}(D, b))^{-1}$ , the latter (fractional) ideal being principal.

There are two possibilities here.

– (2, a)  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b) \subseteq G\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)(\mathrm{IKr}(D, b))^{-1}$ . This would imply that  $\mathrm{IKr}(D, b) \subseteq G\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ . This would in turn imply that  $I = I^b \subseteq G^b = G^*$ , which was our goal.

– (2, b)  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b) \not\subseteq G\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)(\mathrm{IKr}(D, b))^{-1}$ . Rename the principal (fractional) ideal  $G\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)(\mathrm{IKr}(D, b))^{-1}$  as  $\mathcal{H}$ . We know that  $\mathrm{MKr}(D, b) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ .

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is an integral ideal of  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ , then obviously  $\mathrm{MKr}(D, b)$  is contained in a proper principal ideal of  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is not an integral ideal, then  $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$  is a proper integral ideal of  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ . Moreover, it is also finitely generated [2, Proposition 25.4(1)] (hence, principal) in the Bézout domain  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ .

Therefore, in either case  $\mathrm{MKr}(D, b)$  is contained in a proper principal ideal of  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ . This will lead to a contradiction. As a matter of fact, suppose that  $\varphi \in \mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$  is a nonzero nonunit rational function and that  $\mathrm{MKr}(D, b) \subseteq \varphi\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ . This means that, for any natural number  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $X_n \in \varphi\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ . On the other hand, there are only a finite number of  $X_n$  that are part of the reduced representation of  $\varphi$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that these finitely many indices are  $1, 2, \dots, r$ , i.e.,  $\varphi \in k(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r; T) (\subset K(T))$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a nonunit in  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ , there must be a valuation overring  $V$  of  $D$  such that  $\varphi$  is a nonunit in the valuation overring  $V(T)$  of  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ . Contract  $V$  to the subfield  $k(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r)$  of  $K$ . Call this valuation domain  $V_r$ . Then, extend  $V_r$  trivially to  $K$ . Call this valuation domain  $W$ , i.e.,  $W := V_r(X_{r+1}, X_{r+2}, \dots)$ . Clearly,  $W$  is a valuation overring of  $D$ . Then we have a contradiction, because  $\varphi$  is still a nonunit in the valuation overring  $W(T)$  of  $\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$  and each  $X_n$  with  $n > r$  is a unit in  $W(T)$ . This contradicts the fact that each  $X_n$  lies in the principal ideal  $\varphi\mathrm{Kr}(D, b)$ .

Therefore, Possibility (2, b) does not occur. Therefore, we have to fall back on Possibility (2, a) which implies that  $I \subseteq G^b = G^*$ , which was what we needed.

**Acknowledgment.** The first-named author was partially supported by a MIUR-PRIN grant 2008-2011, No. 2008WYH9NY.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Fontana and K. A. Loper, *Cancellation properties in ideal systems: A classification of e. a. b. semistar operations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 (2009), 2095–2103.
- [2] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*. M. Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [3] W. Krull, *Beiträge zur Arithmetik kommutativer Integritätsbereiche*, I - II, Math. Z. 41 (1936), 545–577; 665–679.
- [4] Wang Fanggui and R.L. McCasland, *On  $w$ -modules over strong Mori domains*, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), 1285–1306.

M.F.: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI “ROMA TRE”, 00146 ROME, ITALY.

*E-mail address:* fontana@mat.uniroma3.it

K.A.L.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, NEWARK, OHIO 43055, USA.

*E-mail address:* lopera@math.ohio-state.edu

R.M.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IBARAKI UNIVERSITY, MITO, IBARAKI 310-8512, JAPAN.

*E-mail address:* rmazda@adagio.ocn.ne.jp